

REMARKS

The Examiner rejected Claim 19 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as indefinite because it recited "a third plurality of nozzles..." in the absence of a first and a second plurality of nozzles. Upon review of the claim, the applicant has amended it to remove this source of indefiniteness and to correct its dependence from Claim 13, rather than 12. In addition, Claim 19 has been further amended to clarify the process-step relationship between the steps recited in the claim and those recited in Claims 13 and 12, from which it now depends.


The Examiner rejected Claim 22 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Nakamura ('904). The applicant has cancelled this claim without prejudice.

The Examiner indicated that Claims 1-8, 20 and 22 are allowed and Claim 19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the Sect. 112 rejection discussed above. Therefore, in view of the foregoing, the applicant believes that the remaining claims are patentable over the prior art.

The applicant and the undersigned thank the Examiner for the thorough examination and the relevant art cited for the record.

No additional fee is believed to be due because the total number of pending claims as well as the number of independent claims is reduced by one. Please charge any other cost associated with this transmittal to our Deposit Account No. 17-0055.

Respectfully submitted,



Antonio R. Durando
Reg. No. 28,409
(520) 770-8760 phone
(520) 770-2236 fax